PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PA141957/PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/IB2007/051948	International filing date (day/month/year) 23 May 2007 (23.05.2007)	Priority date (day/month/year) 06 June 2006 (06.06.2006)
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant DISCOVERY HOLDINGS LIMITED)	

1.	This international preliminary r International Searching Authori	report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the ity under Rule $44\ bis.1(a)$.
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	al of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.
		rence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report
	Box No. II	Priority
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
4.		ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
		Date of issuance of this report
		17 March 2009 (17.03.2009)

Authorized officer

e-mail: ro.ib@wipo.int

Cecile Chatel

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PCT/IB2007/051948 17.06.2008

Telephone No. 703-518-4462

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTH	ORITY	MIIIOI III	·•• •
To: HEATHER JUNE DONALD SPOOR & FISHER		PCT	
P.O. BOX 454 PRETORIA, SOUTH AFRICA 0001			ITTEN OPINION OF THE DNAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	17 JUN 2008
Applicant's or agent's file reference PA141957/PCT	, ""	FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/IB07/51948	23 May 2007 (23.05.200	07)	06 June 2006 (06.06.2006)
International Patent Classification (IPC)			
IPC: G06Q 40/00 (2006.01) USPC: 705/4			
Applicant			
DISCOVERY HOLDINGS LIMITED.			
1. This opinion contains indications rel	ating to the following item	ns:	
Box No. I Basis of the opinion			
Box No. II Priority	Box No. II Priority		
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of uni	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention		
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
Box No. VI Certain doc	cuments cited		· .
Box No. VII Certain def	ects in the international ap	plication	
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application			
International Preliminary Examinir Authority other than this one to be that written opinions of this Internat If this opinion is, as provided above	ng Authority ("IPEA") end the IPEA and the chosen ional Searching Authority re, considered to be a write appropriate, with amend expiration of 22 months fi	xcept that this does IPEA has notified the will not be so considute tten opinion of the life them.	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the piration of 3 months from the date of mailing
3. For further details, see notes to Forn			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ U Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	Date of comples 12 May 2008 (etion of this opinion (12.05.2008)	Authorized officer Proseph Thomas & Burn

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2007)

PCT/IB2007/051948 17.06.2008

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.			
PCT/IR07/51948			

Box No.	. I Basis of this opinion
1. With re	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	the international application in the language in which it was filed
2	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)). This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a)) regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been shed on the basis of:
	type of material
a.	
•	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	on paper
-	in electronic form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
4.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5. Additio	onal comments:

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (April 2007)

PCT/IB2007/051948 17.06.2008

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB07/51948

1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-4	YES	
	Claims NONE	NO	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES	
•	Claims 1-4	NO	
. Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-4	YES	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Claims NONE	NO	

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-4 an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Kodama et al., Publication No. US 2002/0143585, in view of Hardesty, U.S. Patent No. 6,105,865.

As per claim 1, Kodama et al. teaches a method of managing an insurance plan (see abstract of Kodama et al.), the method including: calculating a premium payable by the member, wherein the premium is reduced by a percentage (see page 1, paragraphs 0015-0016 of Kodama et al.). However, Kodama et al. does not explicitly teach calculating expenditures and a reduction percentage of the premium. Hardesty, however, does teach a method including: calculating an amount of expenditure of a member using a credit or debit card issued to the member (see column 1, lines 25-67 through column 2, lines 1-10 of Hardesty); and using the calculated amount of expenditure to reduce the premium by a percentage of the amount of expenditure of the member using the credit or debit card issued to the member (see column 1, lines 25-67 through column 2, lines 1-10 of Hardesty). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate this feature into the method of Kodama et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine these features in order to promote use of a particular credit and to induce merchants to participate (see column 1, lines 28-30 of Hardesty).

As per claim 2, Kodama et al. and Hardesty teach the method of claim 1 as described above. Hardesty further teaches a method further including calculating a number of points earned by the member in an incentive program operated by the insurer or a third party, wherein the percentage used to reduce the premium amount is determined based on the number of points earned in the incentive program (see column 1, lines 25-67 through column 2, lines 1-10 of Hardesty).

System claims 3-4, repeat the subject matter of claims 1-2 as a set of "means-plus-function" elements rather than a series of steps. As the underlying process has been shown to be fully disclosed by the teachings of Kodama et al. and Hardesty in the above rejection of claims 1-2, it is readily apparent that the Kodama et al. and Hardesty reference includes a system to perform the recited functions. As such, these limitations are rejected for the same reasons provided in the rejection of claims 1-2 and incorporated herein.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2007)